

# ΠΡΑΚΤΙΚΑ ΤΗΣ ΑΚΑΔΗΜΙΑΣ ΑΘΗΝΩΝ

ΣΥΝΕΔΡΙΑ ΤΗΣ 2ΑΣ ΜΑΪΟΥ 1991

ΠΡΟΕΔΡΙΑ ΙΩΑΝΝΟΥ ΤΟΥΜΠΑ

ΣΤΑΤΙΣΤΙΚΗ ΜΗΧΑΝΙΚΗ.— QFT - Derivation of a conservative or dissipative measure - preserving flow operator in quantum statistical mechanics, by C. Syros\*, διὰ τοῦ Ἀκαδημαϊκοῦ κ. Περ. Θεοχάρη.

The Lagrangian density of the Quantum Field Theory is considered as a generalized random, infinitely divisible field. This allows to derive from the evolution operator in QFT,  $U(t, t')$  a statistical evolution operator,  $U(t, t')$ , which after a quantization exhibits conservative or dissipative properties. From this an averaging statistical evolution operator,  $T$ , has been derived. It is shown that  $T$  describes a measure-preserving flow with ergodic behavior. It allows to give a quantum definition of the temperature in the equilibrium or non-equilibrium state of the system.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A derivation of Statistical Mechanics from Quantum Field Theory in Minkowski space proceeds, as a matter of fact, via changing the space metric. The usual methods to do that is by going over to the Euclidian geometry by means of a Wick rotation  $t \rightarrow -it : e^{-iHt} \rightarrow e^{-Ht}$  [1], [2], [3], [4], [5].

Although this is very useful in practice, it has the unusual consequence — to name only one — of putting Statistical Field Theory to a fundamentally different world from that in which Quantum Field Theory is operable.

\* ΚΩΝΣΤΑΝΤΙΝΟΣ ΣΥΡΟΣ, Καθορισμός συντηρητικού ή ἀποσβεστικού τελεστοῦ ροῆς διατηροῦντες τὸ μέτρον εἰς τὴν Κβαντικὴν Στατιστικὴν Μηχανικὴν.

The purpose of this note is to give a derivation of a statistical evolution operator which represents a flow, is measure-preserving and exhibits the property of asymptotic ergodicity.

Our goal will be obtained by considering the Lagrangian density,  $\mathbf{L}$ , of the field as a generalized, infinitely divisible random field [6], [7]. The Lagrangian density structured in this way will be studied in the Minkowski space-time. This, in turn, is thought of as a lattice space with variable space- and time-spacings.

Our next fundamental assumption is that the system generally evolves via transitions (if it is microscopic, with microscopic boundary conditions, if it is macroscopic, with macroscopic boundary conditions obeyed by the wave functions).

The transition time fully determines the time- and space-spacing of the Minkowski lattice space-time.

The transitions of the system constituents induced by the interaction Hamiltonian,  $H_I(t)$ , are assumed to take place just at the lattice points, and the variation duration of the interaction Hamiltonian may be less or equal to the transition duration itself.

The set of the transition proper time intervals  $\{\tau_\lambda^{tr}\}$  will be considered in evaluating the chronological products as a «point» — set of zero measure.

The time-variation (within  $\tau^{tr}$ ) of the interaction Hamiltonian,  $H_I(t)$ , will be assumed to be very steep.

These are mainly the basic assumptions of the present approach to the derivation of Statistical Mechanics from QFT.

In section 2 the derivation of the Random Field evolution operator  $\mathbf{U}(t, t')$  is given. In section 3 the Random Quantum field evolution operator  $\mathbf{U}^\sigma(t, t')$  is derived.  $\mathbf{U}^\sigma(t, t')$  is dissipative for  $\sigma = 1$ , and conservative for  $\sigma = 2$ .

Section 4 presents the averaging statistical evolution operator,  $\mathbf{T}_s$ . It describes hypothetical particles behaving like the average of particles of the system partitioned in the sense of the state vector.

The averaging statistical evolution operator,  $\mathbf{T}_s$ , is shown in section 5 to have the properties of a flow operator which is asymptotically measure-preserving. In section 6 the determination of the transition time is discussed. It turns out that the temperature depends for energy quantized systems on the Planck constant, while for non quantized systems it is  $\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}$ -independent. Finally, in

section 7 a general discussion of the obtained results and some conclusions are given.

## 2. THE RANDOM FIELD EVOLUTION OPERATOR

The Lagrangian density of the field  $\mathbf{L}(\varphi, \partial_\mu \varphi)$  which is related to the Hamiltonian density  $\mathbf{H}(x)$  by

$$\mathbf{L}(\varphi(x), \pi(x)) = \partial_0 \varphi(x) \pi(x) - \mathbf{H}(\varphi(x), \pi(x)). \quad (2.1)$$

$\varphi(x)$  is the field function,  $\pi(x)$  is the conjugate momentum,  $\pi = \partial \mathbf{L} / \partial_0 \varphi$ ,  $x \in M^4$ , the Minkowski space.

The evolution operator describing the change in time of the state vector in the Schroedinger picture is given by

$$U(t, t') = \mathbf{P} \exp[-i\left(\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\right)^{-1} \int_{t'}^t d^4x \mathbf{H}(x)], \quad (2.2)$$

where only the time integration is limited in  $[t, t']$ , while the other integrations are extended over  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .  $\mathbf{P}$  is the chronological operator.

It will be a fundamental assumption of the present paper that the Lagrangian of the system has the properties of a random [6] field. In the present paper  $\mathbf{H}(x)$  is defined in the usual way on the space of the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equation obtained by the variational principle applied to the action integral

$$I = \int d^4x \mathbf{L}(\varphi(x), \pi(x)). \quad (2.3)$$

The functions  $(\varphi, \pi)$  satisfy appropriate boundary conditions.

Therefore, the space to which  $\varphi(x)$  and  $\pi(x)$  belong will be assumed to be the  $L^2$ -space of the Euler-Lagrange solutions.

In addition, the validity of the Noether theorem implying a number of symmetries will be manifestly assumed. Also, the variation of the functions  $\{\varphi\}$  will in the usual way include the variation of the parameters  $\{h\}$  of the symmetry group,  $G(h)$ , implying the conservation laws. Conceivably, if  $\delta\varphi$  is implied by a symmetry parameter variation, then symmetry breaking occurs. The bigger the symmetry parameter variation (breaking), the lesser the probability for the corresponding path.

*Definition I*

The  $S_h$  is the parameter set of the general symmetry group following from the conservation laws according to the Noether theorem.

*Definition II*

The field function variation  $\delta\varphi(x) = \varphi'(x') - \varphi(x)$ , where

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi'(x') + \delta\varphi(x),$$

and

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = x^\mu + \delta x^\mu \quad (2.4)$$

is called a Noether variation and includes variation with respect both to the coordinates and to the symmetry group parameters,  $\{h\}$ .

*Definition III*

The field functions  $\{\varphi(x; h) | h \in S_h\}$  satisfying the Euler-Lagrange equation  $\partial L/\partial\varphi - \partial_\mu(\partial L/\partial_{\mu}\varphi) = 0$  are subjected to such boundary conditions  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty}(\varphi(x; h)) = 0$ , that  $(\varphi, \pi) \in L_\varphi^2$ , and the integrals

$$\int \varphi^2 d^4x, \int \pi^2 d^4x \text{ are finite for } h \in S_h.$$

*Proposition I*

Let

$$1o \quad \varphi(x), \partial\varphi(x) \in L_\varphi^2,$$

$$2o \quad d\varphi = \partial_0\varphi(x) \cdot dt,$$

$$3o \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow h'} \delta\varphi(x) = d\varphi(x) \quad (\text{Noether variation}).$$

Then, the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{-1} \left[ \prod_{j=0}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x_j \int_{L_\varphi^2} d\varphi(x_j; h) \pi(x_j; h) \right] \text{ converges absolutely.}$$

*Proof*

$$\text{From } \mu^{(n)}(t;h) = (n!)^{-1} \prod_i^n \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} d^3x_i \int_{L_\varphi^2} d\varphi(x_i;h) \pi(x_i;h)$$

it follows for the simplest case  $n = 1$  and for the Noether [8] variation of  $\varphi(x,h)$  that

$$\mu(t;h) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} d^3x \int_{L_\varphi^2} d\varphi(x;h) \partial_0 \varphi(x;h) = \int_{\mathbf{M}^4(t,t')} d^4x \partial_0 \varphi(x;h) \pi(x;h)$$

where  $\mathbf{M}^4(t,t') = \mathbf{R}^3 \times [t', t]$  is a sector of  $\mathbf{M}^4$ .

From definition I and from the fact that  $d^4x$  is Lorentz invariant it follows that the integral is positive and finite

$$0 < \int d^4x [\partial_0 \varphi(x;h) \pi(x)] < \infty.$$

Since  $\mu^{(n)}(t;h) = [\mu(t;h)]^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , the proof is complete.

### *Proposition II*

Let

1o  $\mathbf{H}(x) = \partial_0 \varphi(x) \pi(x) - \mathbf{L}(\varphi(x), \pi(x))$ ,

2o For  $\mathbf{L} < \xi$ ,  $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbf{R}$ , a probability,  $P(\xi)$ , be given such that the conditions are satisfied:

- a.  $P(\xi_1) \leq P(\xi_2)$ , if  $\xi_1 = \xi_2$ ,
- b.  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow -\infty} P(\xi) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} P(\xi) = 1$ ,
- c.  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow a-0} P(\xi) = P(a)$ .

3o The Lagrangian density be an infinitely divisible, random field:

$$\mathbf{L} = \sum_{v=1}^N \mathbf{L}_v \text{ for every integer } N = 2, 3, \dots .$$

with all  $\{\mathbf{L}_v\}$  varying mutually independent and having identical probability distributions,  $P(\xi)$ .

Then, the evolution of this system is described by  $(\frac{\hbar}{2\pi} = 1)$

$$U(t, t') = \exp\{-i\int d^3x \int d\varphi(x) \pi(x) \exp[i\int d^4x \mathbf{L}(\varphi(x), \pi(x))]\}.$$

*Proof*

From 1o it follows that

$$U(t, t') = P \exp\{[-i\int d^4x [\partial_0 \varphi \pi - \mathbf{L}(\varphi, \partial_\mu \varphi)]]\}.$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n / (n!) P \left[ \prod_{j=0}^n \int d^3x_j \int d\varphi(x_j; h) \pi(x_j; h) \right] \cdot \exp[i\int d^4x \mathbf{L}(\varphi(x; h), \partial_\mu \varphi(x; h))] \right\}, \quad (2.5)$$

where the first bracket, [...] is equal to unity for  $v = 0$ .

In view of properties 2o and 3o, the last factor in (2.5) can be written as a sum of infinitely many terms with identical probabilities distributions [6]:

$$\mathbf{L}(\varphi(x; h), \partial_\mu \varphi(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}(\varphi(x_j; h), \partial_\mu \varphi(x_j; h)) \quad (2.6)$$

for all positive integers,  $n = 2, 3, \dots$

Putting (2.6) into (2.5) each time with  $n$  equal to the order of the corresponding term of the series and summing over all  $n$  using proposition I, we obtain the *random field evolution operator*

$$\mathbf{U}(t, t') = \exp\{-i\int d^3x \int d\varphi(x) \pi(x) \exp[i\int d^4x \mathbf{L}(\varphi(x), \pi(x))]\}, \quad (2.7)$$

and the assertion is proved.

*Corollary I*

The Feynman path integral.

$$F = (1/2\pi) \int Dp Dq \exp(i \int dt [pq - H(p, q)]) ; \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} = 1 \quad (2.8)$$

follows formally from (2.5) by using the substitution:

$$\left( (n!)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^n \int d^3x_j \int d\varphi(x_j) \pi(x_j) \right) \rightarrow (1/2\pi) \prod_{j=1}^n \int d\varphi(x) d\pi(x_j)$$

$$(p \rightarrow \pi(x), q \rightarrow \varphi(x), n = \infty). \quad (2.9)$$

*Remark I*

The first part of the correspondence (2.9) would contradict the uncertainty principle on the quantum level.

*Remark II*

The appearance of infinity on the rhs due to the non-existence of the measure of the above relationship is prevented on the lhs by the factor  $1/n!$  for  $n = \infty$ .

*Remark III*

The contribution to the evolution operator of the path integral in (2.9) corresponding to the Feynman integral vanishes.

*Remark IV*

While elimination of  $p$  is carried out in (2.8) under the assumption [8]

$$H(t) = p^2/2m + V(q), \quad (2.10)$$

no momentum integration is required in (2.7). This renders the method interesting for the quantization of more general gauge fields.

### 3. THE QUANTIZED RANDOM FIELD EVOLUTION OPERATOR

Energy renormalization was first introduced in thermodynamics by Gibbs in the form of the chemical potential. This kind of renormalization will follow spontaneously here from randomness and infinite divisibility of the Lagrangian density.

*Definition IV*

(First quantization condition [7])

$$I(t, t') = \int d^4x (\mathbf{L}'(\varphi'(x), \pi'(x)) - M^4_{(t, t')}) = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \begin{cases} n + 1/2, & \sigma = 1 \\ n, & \sigma = 2n, \quad = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \Lambda(n, \sigma).$$

*Proposition III*

Let the field action satisfy  $I(t, t') = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \Lambda(n, \sigma)$ . Then the evolution operator (2.7) becomes:

- i) either time conservative [9] for  $\sigma = 2$ ,
- ii) or dissipative [9] for  $\sigma = 1$ .
- iii) Without first quantization, the operator shows a complex behavior.

*Proof*

From (2.1) and from (2.7) it follows that

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{P} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \right)^{-1} \int d^3x d(\varphi(x) \pi(x)) \sin \left[ \left( \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \right)^{-1} \int d^4x (\mathbf{H}(x) - \partial_0 \varphi(x) \pi(x)) \right] \right. \\ \left. - i \left( \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \right)^{-1} \int d^3x \int d\varphi(x) \pi(x) \cos \left[ \left( \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \right)^{-1} \int d^4x (\mathbf{H}(x) - \partial_0 \varphi(x) \pi(x)) \right] \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

If we use Def. IV (3.1) becomes:

$$\mathbf{U}^\sigma(t, t') = \mathbf{P} \begin{cases} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \right)^{-1} \int_{t'}^t dt H(t) - \Lambda(n, 1) \right], & \sigma = 1 \\ \exp \left[ - i \left( \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \right)^{-1} \int_{t'}^t dt H(t) - \Lambda(n, 2) \right], & \sigma = 2 \end{cases} \quad (3.2a)$$

$$(3.2b)$$

where  $H(t) = \int d^3x \mathbf{H}(x)$

*Remark V*

The Random Quantum Field evolution operator (3.2a) describes dissipative QFT-processes, while (3.2b) describes conservative processes. It is noticed that (3.2b) reduces the usual QFT evolution operator for  $\Lambda(0, 2)$ :  $\mathbf{U}(t, t') = \mathbf{U}(t, t')$

#### 4. THE AVERAGING STATISTICAL EVOLUTION OPERATOR

The above result (3.2) is a consequence of randomness in QFT. Randomness alone does not suffice to deduce Statistical Mechanics. Averaging processes play an equally important role, and they have to be introduced explicitly. Before showing the properties of the averaging statistical evolution operator, the following definitions are required.

*Definition V*

$\mathbf{S}_\psi$  is the Hilbert space of the state vectors

$$|\Psi_{\{m\}}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha!}} \prod_{v=1}^{\alpha} \int dk_v^3 c_m^{\alpha}(\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_{\alpha}; t) \alpha^+(\vec{k}_v) |0\rangle, \quad (4.1)$$

of the system whose evolution is described by  $\mathbf{U}(t, t')$ .  $\mathbf{S}_\psi^c$ ,  $\mathbf{S}_\psi^d$  are subspaces of  $\mathbf{S}_\psi = \mathbf{S}_d \oplus \mathbf{S}_\psi^p$ , where  $c$  = conservative, and  $d$  = dissipative.

*Definition VI*

The statistical evolution operator,  $\mathbf{T}$ , obtained from  $\mathbf{U}$  is defined by the geometric mean of the product of the  $N$  steps

$$\mathbf{T}_s = [\mathbf{U}(t, \tau^{N-1} + t') \dots \mathbf{U}(t' + \tau_1, \tau_2 + t') (t' + \tau_1, t')]^{1/N}. \quad (4.2)$$

$\tau_n$  is the  $N$ -th transition time of the system,  $t = t' + \sum_{n=1}^N \tau_n$ ,  $N$  is the total number of transitions accommodated in  $[t, t']$  and

$$s = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\pi N}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda=1}^N E_m(\lambda) \cdot \tau_{m, m-1}(\lambda).$$

*Definition VII*

A measure space  $(\mathbf{S}_\psi, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  is constructed on :

- i)  $\mathbf{S}_\psi$ , the Hilbert space of the state vectors describing the system.
- ii)  $\mathcal{S}$ , the  $\sigma$ -ring of any combination of basis elements of  $\mathbf{S}_\psi$ .
- iii)  $\mu$ , the measure on  $\mathbf{S}_\psi$ .

*Definitition VIII*

The Hamiltonian of the system,  $\mathbf{H}_t = \mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{H}_1(t)$  varies in a step-wise manner only during a very small fraction,  $I_t$ , of  $\tau_\lambda$ . The time  $\tau_\lambda$  is time-independent,  $\mathbf{H}_1(t_\lambda) = \mathbf{H}_1^\lambda$ , in the complement of each transition time-inter-

val,  $I_0^\lambda \cdot H_I^\lambda$  is a function of the spatial coordinates of the lattice space inside the volume,  $V = abc$ , of the system. The wave functions of the system.  $\{c_m^a | m = 1, 2, \dots\}$  obey the equation

$$i \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \varphi(q, t)}{\partial t} = - \left( \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2m} \Delta_q \varphi(q, t) + H_I^\lambda(q, t) \varphi(q, t), \quad t \in I_t^\lambda \quad (4.3a)$$

$$- \left( \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2m} \Delta_q \varphi_m^\lambda(q) + H_I^\lambda(q) \varphi_m^\lambda(q) = E_m \varphi_m^\lambda(q), \quad t \in I_t^\lambda \quad (4.3b)$$

for  $-a/2 \leq x \leq a/2$ ,  $-b/2 \leq y \leq b/2$ ,  $-c/2 \leq z \leq c/2$ , and satisfy the boundary conditions

$$c_m^a(\pm a/2, y, z) = c_m^a(x, \pm b/2, z) = c_m^a(x, y, \pm c/2) = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

$$\text{grad } c_m^a(\pm a/2, y, z) = \text{grad } c_m^a(x, \pm b/2) = \text{grad } c_m^a(x, y, \pm c/2) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

$H_I(x)$  may contain  $W(q)$ , an external field, and  $W_I(q, t)$ , a two-body interaction

$$W_I(q, t) = \int \Phi(q - q') \varphi^+(q', t) \varphi(q', t) dq',$$

which is treated as a perturbation.

## 5. THE MEASURE - PRESERVING PROPERTY.

### *Proposition IV*

Let

1o The Hamiltonian  $H(t) = H_0 + H_I(t)$  be structured as in Def. VIII.

2o  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_I(t) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_\infty = \text{constant}$ .

Then,

i)  $T_s$  satisfies  $T_s \cdot T_{s'} = T_{s+s'}$  (flow operator).

ii)  $\langle \Psi | T_s^{\text{asympt.}} | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi | T_{s+s'}^{\text{asympt.}} | \Psi \rangle$  (measure-preserving).

### *Proof*

First, (i) will be proved. In view of Def. VIII there holds

$$\Psi_s | \Psi^{\{m\}} \rangle = \Psi^{\{n'\}} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n=0} \frac{1}{V \frac{n!}{n!}} \prod_{v=1}^n \int dk_v^3 e^{-s} c_m^n(k_1, \dots, \vec{k}_n; t) \alpha^+(k_v) | 0 \rangle.$$

By applying  $\mathbf{T}_{s'}$  on  $|\Psi^{\{m\}}\rangle$  we see immediately that  $\mathbf{T}_s \cdot \mathbf{T}_{s'} = \mathbf{T}_{s+s'}$  and assertion (i) is true.

To show (ii) we recall that  $H_I(t) = \tilde{H}_\infty = \text{constant}$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and the set of eigenvalues  $\{E_m\}$  as well as the transition times  $\{\tau_m, m=1\}$  vary asymptotically at most  $\lambda$ -independently. Hence, if we form the sum

$$s' = \left(\frac{h}{2\pi N'}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N'} E_m \cdot \tau_m, \quad m=1$$

with a different but large number of transitions,  $\{N'\}$ , the average value  $s'$  will remain unchanged.

Consequently, if we define

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{asympt.}} = \bar{\mathbf{U}} = [\mathbf{U}(t, \tau_{N-1} + t') \dots \mathbf{U}(t' + \tau_2, \tau_1 + t') \mathbf{U}(t' + \tau_1, t')]^{1/M}.$$

then  $\bar{\mathbf{U}}$  is  $N$ -independent for  $M > N$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_s^{\text{asympt.}} = \bar{\mathbf{U}} = & [\mathbf{U}(t' + \sum_v^N \tau_v, \sum_v^{M-1} \tau_v + t') \dots \\ & \mathbf{U}(t' + \sum_v^{N+3} \tau_v, \sum_v^{N+2} \tau_v + t') \mathbf{U}(t' + \sum_v^{N+2} \tau_v, \sum_v^{N+1} \tau_v + t') \\ & \mathbf{U}(\sum_v^N \tau_v + t', \sum_v^{N-1} \tau_v + t') \\ & \dots \mathbf{U}(t' + \tau_2 + \tau_1, \tau_1 + t') \mathbf{U}(t' + \tau_1, t')]^{1/M} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = N + N'.$$

Hence, the inner product

$$\langle \Psi^{\{m\}} | \mathbf{T}_s^{\text{asympt.}} | \Psi^{\{m\}} \rangle = \langle \Psi^{\{m'\}} | \mathbf{T}_{s+s'}^{\text{asympt.}} | \Psi^{\{m'\}} \rangle.$$

remains invariant, and this proves assertion (ii)

### *Proposition V*

There are two types of dissipative evolution operators  $\mathbf{U}$ :

- i) With  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{H}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_\infty = \text{time-independent} \geq 0$ .
- ii) With  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{H}(t) = \text{time dependent}$ .

*Proof*

In case i) the proof follows directly from Proposition V.  $\{E_m(\lambda)\}$  and  $\{\tau_{m, m-1}(\lambda)\}$  tend to limits,  $s \rightarrow S_\infty < \infty$ , and the norm of  $\mathbf{T}_s$  in  $\mathbf{S}_\psi$  is conserved for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

In case ii), if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_I(t) = \text{time dependent} \rightarrow 0$ , then the expression

$$s = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{h}{2\pi} N \right)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda=1}^N E_m(\lambda) \cdot \tau_{m, m-1}(\lambda)$$

has a finite limit, and  $0 < \|\mathbf{T}_s | \Psi^{\{m\}} \rangle\| < \infty$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_I(t) = \text{time dependent} \rightarrow \infty$ , then three possibilities exist:

$$E_m(\lambda) \cdot \tau_{m, m-1}(\lambda) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{increases in time, then } \|\mathbf{T}_s | \Psi^{\{m\}} \rangle\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } t \rightarrow \infty. \\ \text{constant } \Rightarrow 0 < \|\mathbf{T}_s | \Psi^{\{m\}} \rangle\| < \infty \text{ for } t \rightarrow \infty. \\ \text{decreases } \Rightarrow 0 < \|\mathbf{T}_s | \Psi^{\{m\}} \rangle\| < \infty \text{ for } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

*Remark VI*

The above result allows a classification of the time-dependent interaction Hamiltonians with respect to their ergodic behavior. The proof of the following statement is trivial:

*Proposition VI*

Let the time-dependent interaction Hamiltonian be such that

$$s = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{h}{2\pi} N \right)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda=1}^N E_m(\lambda) \cdot \tau_{m, m-1}(\lambda) = \text{constant for } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the averaging statistical operator,  $\mathbf{T}_s$ , defined by

$$\mathbf{T}_s = [\mathbf{U}(t + \tau_{N-1} + t') \dots \mathbf{U}(t' + \tau_2, \tau_1 + t') \mathbf{U}(t' + \tau_1, t')]^{1/N},$$

$$\mathbf{U} \left( \sum_v^N \tau_v \mathbf{U} + t', \sum_v^{v-1} \tau_v + t' \right) \dots \mathbf{U}(t' + \tau_2 + \tau_1, \tau_1 + t') \mathbf{U}(t' + \tau_1, t')$$

where  $\mathbf{U}(t, t')$

$$\mathbf{U}(t, t') = P \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{h}{2\pi} \right)^{-1} \int_{t'}^t dt [H_0 + H(t)] - \Lambda(n, 2) \right\},$$

is ergodic.

*Proof*

According to a new formulation of the Ergodic Theorem [9], if there are no non-constant invariant functions, then there are no non-trivial invariant subsets of  $\mathbf{S}_\psi$ . Hence, it suffices to show that  $\mathbf{T}_s |\Psi_{\{m\}}\rangle$  asymptotically

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{T}_s |\Psi_{\{m\}}\rangle = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0} \frac{1}{V n!} \prod_{v=1} \int dk_v^3 e^{-s} c_m^n(\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_n; t) \alpha^+(\vec{k}_v) |0\rangle$$

is constant in time.

It can easily be seen that the wave function  $c_m^a(\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_a; t)$  becomes a constant because of Proposition IV, 2<sup>o</sup>. For the same reason  $s$  takes a limiting value, because the terms added to  $s$  belong to the constant eigenvalue set of the limiting Hamiltonian. This limiting value of  $s$  is constant. Hence, the space  $\mathbf{S}_\psi$  reduces under  $\mathbf{T}_s$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$  to a constant, invariant sub-set.

Conversely, constant  $c_m^a(\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_a; t)$  does not in general imply constant norm of  $\mathbf{T}_s |\Psi_{\{m\}}\rangle$ , if the premise is not fulfilled. According to the above formulation of ergodicity,  $\mathbf{T}_s$  is ergodic if and only if every measurable invariant function is a constant. Since the expression

$$\langle \Psi_{\{m'\}} | \mathbf{T}_s^{\text{asympt.}} | \Psi_{\{m\}} \rangle = \Psi_{\{m'\}} | \mathbf{T}_s^{\text{asympt.}} | \Psi_{\{m\}},$$

is finite and constant in time,  $\mathbf{T} |\Psi_{\{m\}}\rangle$  is measurable in the sense of Def. VII. This completes the proof.

#### 6. ABOUT THE TRANSITION TIME AND THE TEMPERATURE

The determination of the temperature of an evolving system is a matter depending on the structure of the interaction Hamiltonian. This Hamiltonian determines the evolution in time of the transition time, and this in turn determines the evolution of the system. Hence, the transition time is itself function of the time,  $\tau(t)$ .

An exact determination of the transition times,  $\tau(t)$ , requires the exact solution of equation (4.3b). For interactions fulfilling certain conditions the solution can be obtained by perturbation theory. We shall content ourselves at this stage with perturbation theory.

Two distinct cases will be considered: a) Transitions to discrete states, and transition to the continuum. In addition, the interaction,  $H(t)$ , is supposed to comply with Def. VII and with the fact that its variation [time] is very short. Also, in an evolving statistical system the interaction must act indefinitely (at each time-lattice point) even if the system is in an equilibrium state. The difference with a system in a non-equilibrium state is that  $H(t)$  is time-dependent.

Since we are interested here in the transition time only, classical perturbation theory will be applied to find the transition probability per unit time,  $w_{nm}(t)$ . From this the transition time follows from [10]

$$\tau_{nm}(t) = [w_{nm}(t)]^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\alpha_{nm}^*(t) \cdot \alpha_{nm}(t)]. \quad (5.1)$$

If  $H_I(t) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_\infty$ , then the transition amplitude is given by

$$\alpha_{nm}(t) = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\right)^{-1} \int \frac{\partial H_{I,nm}(t')}{\omega_{nm} \cdot \partial t'} \cdot e^{i\omega_{nm}t'} dt' - H_{I,nm}(t) \frac{e^{i\omega_{nm}t}}{\frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \cdot \omega_{nm}}, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $\omega_{nm} = (E_n - E_m)(\frac{\hbar}{2\pi})^{-1}$ .

For the interaction discussed above,  $w_{nm}(t)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} w_{nm}(t) &= \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\omega_{nm}\right)^{-2} \frac{\partial [H_{I,nm}(t)]^2}{\partial t} \\ &+ 2 \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{H_{I,nm}(t) e^{i\omega_{nm}t}}{\hbar^2 \omega_{nm} \omega} \int_0^t \frac{\partial H_{I,nm}(t')}{\omega_{nm} \partial t'} \cdot e^{-i\omega_{nm}t'} dt' \right\} \\ &+ 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_{I,nm}(t) e^{i\omega_{nm}t}}{\hbar^2 \omega_{nm} \omega} \int_\infty^t \frac{\partial H_{I,nm}(t')}{\omega_{nm} \partial t'} \cdot e^{-i\omega_{nm}t'} dt' \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

If the evolution proceeds via states transitions continuous from a state characterized by the parameters  $(\xi, \zeta, E)$  to the state with  $(\xi' = \xi + d\xi, \zeta' = \zeta + d\zeta, E' = E + dE)$ , then the transition probability per unit time and per unit volume in the parameter space is given by

$$w(\xi, \zeta, E - \xi', \zeta', E') = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\right)^{-1} |H_{I; \xi, \eta, E}|^2 \rho(\xi, \eta, E), \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\rho(\xi, \eta, E)$  is the density of the states in the interval between  $[\xi, \zeta, E]$  and  $[\xi' = \xi + d\xi, \zeta' = \zeta + d\zeta, E' = E + dE]$ .

Now, if the temperature during the transition  $m \rightarrow n$  is defined by [7]

$$T_{nm} = \frac{\hbar}{k_B \tau_{nm}} \quad (5.5)$$

then it follows from (5.3) - (5.5) that  $T_{nm}$  depends in the case of the quantized states on the Planck constant, while in the case of transitions to the continuum, the Planck constant does not appear

$$T(\xi, \eta, E) = k_B^{-1} |H_{I; \xi, \eta, E}|^2 \rho(\xi, \eta, E). \quad (5.6)$$

If the interaction or the states density vanish, then the temperature vanishes too.

## 7. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on some simple principles, fundamental relations of Statistical Mechanics have been derived from Quantum Field Theory.

The stochastic behavior of a QFT system follows naturally from the assumption that the Lagrangian density of the field is a generalized, infinity divisible, random field. This made it possible to derive the statistical evolution operator,  $\mathbf{U}$ , from which by a quantization condition the dissipative evolution operator is obtained, or the conservative one in a more general energy renormalized form is obtained.

Using the statistical evolution operator,  $\mathbf{U}$ , we defined the averaging statistical evolution operator,  $\mathbf{T}_s$ , which reduces the state vector space,  $\mathbf{S}_\psi$ , to an asymptotically invariant sub-set of constant state vectors. It has been shown that  $\mathbf{T}_s$  is a flow operator showing asymptotic ergodicity.

The temperature has been obtained as a functional of the interaction Hamiltonian. It is directly related with the frequency of transitions of the constituents of the system. This enables one to define the temperature in terms

of microphysical observables in a way independent of equilibrium or non-equilibrium states of the system. So the temperature is definable for isolated systems without recourse to heat bath.

Another point is that the path functions space variations must, for consistency, be in accordance with the Noether theorem.

### ΠΕΡΙΛΨΙΣ

#### **Καθορισμὸς συντηρητικοῦ ἢ ἀποσβεστικοῦ τελεστοῦ ροῆς διατηροῦντος τὸ μέτρον εἰς τὴν Κβαντικὴν Στατιστικὴν Μηχανικήν**

Τὸ πρόβλημα τῆς ἔνοποιήσεως τῆς Στατιστικῆς Μηχανικῆς καὶ τῆς Θεωρίας τῶν Κβαντικῶν Πεδίων — τῆς Θεωρίας, ἡ ὅποια σήμερον πλέον ἐκτιμᾶται ὡς ἡ βασικωτέρα μέθοδος περιγραφῆς τῶν φυσικῶν φαινομένων εἰς τὸν Μικρόκοσμον — προσέκρουσεν πάντοτε εἰς ἀνυπέρβλητα ἐμπόδια.

Ἐν ἐκ τῶν σημαντικωτέρων ἐμποδίων συνίσταται εἰς τὸ γεγονός, ὅτι ἀπαιτεῖται μετασχηματισμὸς τῆς μεταβλητῆς τοῦ χρόνου  $t$ , μέσω τῆς στρέψεως Wick, ἡ δὲ ἀναλυτικῆς συνεχίσεως τῆς μεταβλητῆς τοῦ χρόνου πρὸς τὸν φανταστικὸν ἀξονα τοῦ μιγαδικοῦ ἐπιπέδου,

$$t \rightarrow -it: e^{-iHt} \rightarrow e^{-Ht}$$

ἐκ τῶν φυσικῶν, πραγματικῶν τιμῶν, οἱ ὅποιες εἶναι θεμελιώδους σημασίας εἰς ὅλην τὴν Φυσικήν, καὶ ὅλως εἰδικῶς εἰς τὴν Θεωρίαν τῆς Σχετικότητος, εἰς καθαρῶς φανταστικὲς τιμές.

Ἡ μέθοδος αὕτη συνεπάγεται ἀλλαγὴν τῆς μετρικῆς τοῦ χώρου τοῦ Minkowski εἰς μετρικὴν τοῦ Εύκλειδείου χώρου, μέθοδος συνεπαγομένη τὴν ἀπώλειαν τοῦ ἀναλογιώτου κατὰ Lorentz τῶν βασικῶν ἔξισώσεων τῶν κβαντικῶν πεδίων.

Κατ’ αὐτὸν τὸν τρόπον, ἐμφανίζεται ἡ θερμοκρασία ὡς ἀντιστρόφως ἀνάλογος τοῦ φανταστικοῦ χρόνου. Ἡ ἔξαρτησις αὕτη δέον νὰ θεωρηθῇ ὡς μᾶλλον εἰδικὴ καὶ δὲν ἐπιτρέπει τὴν ἀναγωγὴν τῆς τιμῆς τῆς θερμοκρασίας εἰς οἰαδήποτε θεμελιώδη μεγέθη.

Ἄλλοι τρόποι εἰσαγωγῆς τῆς θερμοκρασίας συνίστανται εἰς τὴν κανονικοποίησιν τῆς σταθερᾶς ἀλληλεπιδράσεως, κυρίως εἰς τὸ πλαίσιον τῆς Θεωρίας τῶν κρισίμων φαινομένων, ἡ μέσω τοῦ ὀλοκληρωτικοῦ παράγοντος τῆς ἐντροπίας. Οἱ ἄνω τρόποι

εἰσαγωγῆς τῆς ἐννοίας τῆς θερμοκρασίας, ἀπολύτως χρήσιμοι, δὲν ἔπιτρέπουν μίαν ἐνιαίαν καὶ θεμελιώδη φυσικὴν ἑρμηνείαν τοῦ μεγέθους αὐτοῦ ἀπορρέουσαν ἐκ βασικῶν φαινομένων.

Διὰ τῆς μεθόδου τῆς παρουσιαζομένης εἰς τὴν ἔργασίαν ταύτην οἱ ἄνω δυσκολίες δὲν ἐμφανίζονται, διότι ὁ ἐκθέτης,  $-iH \cdot t$ , εἰς τὸν τελεστὴν ἔξελίξεως (evolution operator,  $U(t, t') = P \exp[-iHt]$ , γίνεται αὐτομάτως πραγματικὸς μέσω τῆς ἀπελρού διαιρετότητος (infinite divisibility))

$$L = L_1 + L_2 \dots + L_v, \quad v = 2, 3, \dots$$

τοῦ στοχαστικοῦ πεδίου τῆς πυκνότητος Lagrange καὶ τῆς κβαντώσεως τοῦ ὅλοκληρῷ ματος δράσεως αὐτοῦ

$$\left(\frac{h}{2\pi}\right)^{-1} \int L dt = - \begin{cases} 2\pi n, & \text{συντηρητικὸν} \\ 2\pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) & \text{ἀποσβεστικόν.} \end{cases}$$

‘Ο στατιστικὸς τελεστὴς ἔξελίξεως,  $U(t, t')$  διασπᾶται διὰ τῆς ἄνω κβαντώσεως εἰς δύο μέρη, ἐκάτερον τῶν ὅποιων εἶναι συντηρητικὸν (conservative) ἢ ἀποσβεστικόν (dissipative).

Έκ τοῦ στατιστικοῦ τελεστοῦ ἔξελίξεως παράγεται ὁ μέσος στατιστικὸς τελεστὴς ἔξελίξεως μέσης τιμῆς,  $T_s$ .

$$T = [U(t, t_N) \dots U(t_3, t_2) U(t_2, t_1)]^{1/N}.$$

‘Η μεγάλη σημασία τούτου ἔγκειται εἰς τὶς ἔξαιρετικὲς ἰδιότητές του νὰ περιγράψει φαινόμενα ροῆς (flow) καὶ νὰ διατηρεῖ τὸ μέτρον (measure-preserving). Οἱ ἰδιότητες αὐτὲς καθιστοῦν  $T$  ἔργοδικόν.

Βάσει τῶν ἀνωτέρω κατέστη δυνατὸς ὁ δρισμὸς τῆς θερμοκρασίας τοῦ συστήματος εἰς κατάστασιν θερμοδυναμικῆς ἴσορροπίας ἢ μὴ συναρτήσει τοῦ μέσου χρόνου μεταβάσεως,  $\tau_{nm}$ , τῶν συστατικῶν τοῦ συστήματος ἐκ μίας εἰς ἄλλην μικροσκοπικὴν κατάστασιν

$$\tau_{nm} = \frac{\frac{h}{2\pi}}{k_B T_{nm}},$$

ὅπου  $\frac{h}{2\pi}$ ,  $k_B$  οἱ σταθερὲς Planck καὶ Boltzmann ἀντιστοίχως.

‘Ο χρόνος μεταβάσεως ὑπολογίζεται εἰς τὴν Κβαντικὴν Μηχανικὴν ὡς Συναρτησιακὸν τοῦ τελεστοῦ Hamilton ἀλληλεπιδράσεως τῶν σωματίων τοῦ συστήματος.

## REF E R E N C E S

1. The temperature is in most references defined as in the framework of Classical Thermodynamics in relation to the average energy of the system. A small number of representative references are given here:  
 R. H a a g, Statistical Mechanics. Commun. Math. Phys. 5, 215-236. (1967).  
 D. B u c h h o l z and E. H. W i c h m a n n, Causal independence and the energy level-density of states in local Quantum Field Theory. Commun. Math. Phys. 106, 321-344 (1986).  
 Y. M. P a r k, Bounds on exponentials of local number operators in Quantum Statistical Mechanics. Commun. Math. Phys. 94, 1-33(1984).  
 J. W. N e g e l e, and H. O r l a n d, Quantum Many-Particle Systems, (Addison-Wesley. Redwood City, Calif., 1988), p. 48.
2. Another possibility is to define the temperature as the integrating factor of the heat in the Classical Thermodynamics. G. Parisi, Statistical Field Theory, (Addison-Wesley. Redwood City, Calif., 1988), p. 7.  
 N. N. B o g o l u b o v, Lectures on Quantum Statistics Vol I, (Mac-Donald Technical and Scientific, London, 1967), p. 12.
3. An exceptional way to introduce the Gibbs states is the Martin-Kubo-Schwinger (MKS) boundary condition. It is an elegant mathematical way for obtaining the desired real Gibbs-like exponent fully describing the physical behavior. The way of generating the Gibbs states from the MKS boundary condition is not directly related to the fundamentals of the Quantum Field Theory. Some related references:  
 D. R u e l l e, Thermodynamic formalism. Chapter I. Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Vol. 5. (Reading MA, Addison-Wesley, 1978).  
 M. E i s e n m a n, S. G o l d s t e i n, J. L. L e b o w i t z, Conditional equilibrium and equivalence of microcanonical and grandcanonical ensembles in the thermodynamic Limit. Commun. Math. Phys. 62, 279-302(1978).
4. D. J. A m i t, Field Theory, the Renormalization Group. and Critical Phenomena. (World Scientific, 2nd edition, Singapore, 1984), p. 19.
5. K a r l B l u m, Density Matrix and Applications,(Plenum Press, New York, 1981), p. 61.
6. I. M. G e l f a n d, and N. Ya. V i l e n k i n, Generalized Functions Vol 4, (Academic, New York, 1964), p. 283.
7. C. S y r o s, Derivation of Gibbs states from Quantum Field Theory. Modern Physics Letters, B4 (1990) 1089.
8. K. N i s h i j i m a, Particles and Fields, (Benjamin, New York), 1966, p. 16.
9. R. P. H a l m o s, Ergodic Theory, (Chelsea, New York, 1956), p. 25.
10. D. I. B l o c h i n z e w, Grundlagen der Quantenmechanik, (Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1958), p. 301.

‘Ο Ἀκαδημαϊκὸς κ. Περικλῆς Θεοχάρης εἰς τὴν ἀνακοίνωσιν τοῦ κ. Κωνστ. Σύρου λέγει τὰ ἔξῆς:

Κύριε Πρόεδρε,

“Οταν ἡ θαλπωρὴ τοῦ Ἡλίου μᾶς ζωογονῇ κατὰ τὸν χειμῶνα, ὅταν αἰσθανώμεθα τὴν εὐχάριστον ἐγγύτητα τῆς ἑστίας κατὰ τὶς παγερὲς ἡμέρες, ὅταν εἰς ὑψηλὰ ὅρη ὁ φρουρὸς τῆς πατρίδος ἢ ὁ χιονοδρόμος τυλίσσεται εἰς τὰ χονδρὰ ἐνδύματά του, ὅλοι ἐπιδιώκουν ἔνα σκοπόν: Τὴν διατήρησιν τῆς θερμοκρασίας των.

Τί εἶναι ὅμως αὐτό, τὸ δόποῖον ὀνομάζομε θερμοκρασίαν; Πῶς ὅρίζεται ἐπιστημονικὰ καὶ ποία εἶναι ἡ φύσις του; “Ολοι γνωρίζομεν, ὅτι διὰ νὰ θερμάνωμε τὸ ὄρδωρ, φέρομε τὸ περιέχον τοῦτο δοχεῖον εἰς ἐπαφὴν μὲν θερμὸν σῶμα: φλόγα ἢ μικροκύματα κ.τ.τ. Γενικῶς: πρὸς μίλια πηγὴν θερμότητος ὅρισμένης θερμοκρασίας.

Κατὰ τὸν ἄνω τρόπον μετετοπίσθη τὸ ἐρώτημα περὶ τῆς θερμοκρασίας τυχόντος σώματος πρὸς ἐκεῖνο τῆς θερμοκρασίας τῆς πηγῆς θερμότητος. Ἀλλὰ τὸ ἐρώτημα παραμένει ἀκόμη ἀκέραιον: «Πῶς ὅρίζεται ἡ θερμοκρασία τῆς πηγῆς θερμότητος;»

“Αν καὶ τὸ ἐρώτημα δὲν ἔχει μεγάλην πρακτικὴν σημασίαν, ἀν καὶ ἡ θερμοκρασία σχετίζεται πρὸς τὴν μέσην ἐνέργειαν τῶν μορίων, π.χ., ἐνὸς ἀερίου, ἐν τούτοις, τὸ πρόβλημα εἶναι θεμελιώδους θεωρητικῆς σημασίας καὶ δὲν εἶναι δυνατὸν νὰ παραγνωρισθῇ. Ὁ ὑπολογισμὸς τῆς μέσης ἐνέργειας τῶν μορίων τοῦ ἀερίου προϋποθέτει σχέσιν εἰς τὴν ὁποίαν προϋπάρχει ἥδη ἡ θερμοκρασία.

Τὸ πρόβλημα τοῦ ὅρισμοῦ τῆς θερμοκρασίας λαμβάνει ἔτι πολυπλοκωτέραν μορφὴν, δοθέντος ὅτι εἰς τὸ πλαίσιον τῆς Θεωρίας τῶν Κβαντικῶν Πεδίων — μιᾶς θεωρίας, ἡ ὁποία σήμερον πλέον ἐκτιμᾶται ὡς ἡ βασικωτέρα μέθοδος περιγραφῆς τῶν φυσικῶν φαινομένων εἰς τὸν Μικρόκοσμον — αὐτὴ ἔξισοῦται πρὸς τὸν ἀντίστροφον φανταστικὸν χρόνον. Τοιουτοτρόπως συνάγονται σχέσεις τῆς Στατιστικῆς Θερμοδυναμικῆς.

‘Ο συσχετισμὸς τῆς θερμοκρασίας πρὸς τὸν χρόνον πραγματοποιεῖται μαθηματικῶς διὰ τοῦ μετασχηματισμοῦ τῆς μεταβλητῆς τοῦ χρόνου μέσω τῆς στρέψεως Wick, ἡ δἰ ἀναλυτικῆς συνεχίσεως τῆς μεταβλητῆς τοῦ χρόνου εἰς τὸ σύνολον τῶν μιγαδικῶν ἀριθμῶν.

‘Η μέθοδος αὐτή, ὅμως, συνεπάγεται τὴν δημιουργίαν ἀδιαπεράτου τοίχους μεταξὺ τῆς θεωρίας τῶν Κβαντικῶν Πεδίων καὶ τῆς Στατιστικῆς Μηχανικῆς, διότι προκαλεῖ τὴν ἀλλαγὴν τῆς μετρικῆς τοῦ χώρου Minkowski, εἰς τὸν δόποῖον μελετῶνται τὰ Κβαντικὰ Πεδία, εἰς μετρικὴν Eukleideisίου χώρου, εἰς τὸν δόποῖον διατυποῦται ἡ Στατιστικὴ Μηχανική. Ἀλλὰ ἡ ἀλλαγὴ αὐτὴ μετρικῆς ἔχει περαιτέρω ὡς συνέπειαν τὴν ἀπώλειαν τῆς ίδιοτητος τοῦ ἀναλλοιώτου τῶν βασικῶν ἔξισώσεων τῶν κβαντικῶν πεδίων κατὰ Lorentz.

Κατ' αὐτὸν τὸν τρόπον, ἐμφανίζεται ἡ θερμοκρασία ὡς ἀντιστρόφως ἀνάλογος τοῦ φανταστικοῦ χρόνου ἐπομένως ἡ ἔξαρτησις αὐτῇ δέον νὰ θεωρηθῇ ὡς τελείως εἰδικὴ μὴ ἐπιτρέπουσα τὸν ὑπολογισμὸν τῆς τιμῆς τῆς θερμοκρασίας βάσει οἰωνδήποτε θεμελιώδῶν μεγεθῶν.

Τοιουτοτρόπως, ὁ δρισμὸς τῆς θερμοκρασίας μεταπίπτει εἰς πρόβλημα ἐνοποιήσεως τῆς Στατιστικῆς Μηχανικῆς καὶ τῆς θεωρίας τῶν Κβαντικῶν Πεδίων, καθ' ὃσον δὲ τελεστὴς ἔξελίξεως ἐνὸς καὶ τοῦ αὐτοῦ φυσικοῦ συστήματος ἀπαιτεῖ ἀφ' ἐνὸς μὲν μετρικὴν Εὐκλειδείου χώρου διὰ τὴν Στατιστικὴν Μηχανικὴν καὶ ἀφ' ἑτέρου μετρικὴν κατὰ Minkowski διὰ τὰ Κβαντικὰ Πεδία.

Τύπαρχουν, βεβαίως, καὶ ἄλλοι τρόποι εἰσαγωγῆς τῆς ἐννοίας τῆς θερμοκρασίας ὡς π.χ., ἡ μέθοδος κατὰ τὴν ὅποιαν ἡ θερμοκρασία θεωρεῖται ὡς ὁ ὀλοκληρωτικὸς παράγων τῆς ἐντροπίας εἰς θερμοδυναμικὰ συστήματα ἡ καὶ ἡ μέθοδος διὰ τῆς κανονικοποιήσεως τῆς σταθερᾶς ἀλληλεπιδράσεως, κυρίως εἰς τὸ πλαίσιον τῆς θεωρίας τῶν κρισίμων φαινομένων εἰς τὴν συμπυκνωμένην ὥλην.

Σημαντικὴ πρόδος ἐσημειώθη εἰς τὸ πρόβλημα τοῦ δρισμοῦ τῆς θερμοκρασίας, διὰ τῆς χρήσεως τῆς δριακῆς συνθήκης Kubo-Martin-Schwinger, ἀλλὰ καὶ κατ' αὐτὴν τὴν μέθοδον, εἴτε προϋποτίθεται τὸ στατιστικὸν σύνολον τοῦ Gibbs, ἢ τοῦτο προκύπτει δι' ἐνὸς μετασχηματισμοῦ Fourier. Καὶ ἐνταῦθα ἡ θερμοκρασία παραμένει ὡς μακροσκοπικὴ παράμετρος, ἀσχετος πρὸς βασικὰ καὶ θεμελιώδη φυσικὰ μεγέθη τοῦ μικροσυστήματος καθὼς ἐπίσης καὶ ὡς πρὸς τὸν μηχανισμὸν παραγωγῆς της.

Αἱ ἀνωτέρω μέθοδοι εἰσαγωγῆς τῆς ἐννοίας τῆς θερμοκρασίας, καίτοι ἀπολύτως χρήσιμοι, δὲν ἐπιτρέπουν ἐννιαίαν καὶ θεμελιώδη φυσικὴν ἐρμηνείαν τοῦ μεγέθους αὐτοῦ, θεμελιούμενην ἐπὶ βασικῶν φαινομένων.

Διὰ τῆς μεθόδου τῆς ἀναπτυσσομένης εἰς τὴν παροῦσαν ἐργασίαν οἱ ὡς ἀνω δυσκολίαι ἀποφεύγονται, διότι εἰς αὐτὴν ὁ χρόνος λαμβάνει αὐτομάτως τὴν ἐπιθυμητὴν μορφὴν διὰ τῆς κβαντώσεως τοῦ ὀλοκληρώματος δράσεως. 'Ο στατιστικὸς τελεστὴς ἔξελίξεως διασπᾶται διὰ τῆς ἀνω κβαντώσεως εἰς δύο μέρη, ἐκάτερον τῶν ὅποιων είναι εἴτε συντηρητικὸν (conservative), εἴτε ἔξασθενητικὸν (dissipative). Τῇ βοηθείᾳ τοῦ στατιστικοῦ τελεστοῦ ἔξελίξεως ἐπιτυγχάνεται ὁ στατιστικὸς τελεστὴς ἔξελίξεως τῆς μέσης τιμῆς. 'Η μεγάλη σημασία τοῦ τελεστοῦ τούτου ἔγκειται εἰς τὰς ἔξαιρετικές ιδιότητές του νὰ περιγράφει φαινόμενα ροῆς (flow) καὶ διατηρήσεως τοῦ μέτρου (measure-preserving). Οἱ ιδιότητες αὐτὲς καθιστοῦν τὸν στατιστικὸν τελεστὴν μέσης τιμῆς ἐργοδικόν.

Βάσει τῶν ἀνωτέρω κατέστη δυνατὸς ὁ δρισμὸς τῆς θερμοκρασίας τοῦ συστήματος, τόσον εἰς κατάστασιν θερμοδυναμικῆς ίσορροπίας δοσον καὶ εἰς τοιαύτην μὴ

Θερμοδυναμικῆς ἴσορροπίας, συναρτήσει τοῦ μέσου χρόνου μεταβάσεως τῶν συστατικῶν τοῦ συστήματος ἐκ μιᾶς εἰς ἄλλην μικροσκοπικὴν κατάστασιν.

Ἐπειδὴ δὲ χρόνος μεταβάσεως ὑπολογίζεται εἰς τὴν Κβαντικὴν Μηχανικὴν ὡς Συναρτησιακὸν τοῦ τελεστοῦ ἀλληλεπιδράσεως κατὰ Hamilton τῶν σωμάτων τοῦ συστήματος, ἔπειται τὸ λίαν σημαντικὸν ἀποτέλεσμα κατὰ τὸ ὅποιον ἡ θερμοκρασία ἐκφράζεται ὡς συναρτησιακὸν τῆς ἀλληλεπιδράσεως ταύτης.

Ἐν κατακλείδι τῆς ἀναλύσεως ταύτης δύναται νὰ λεχθῇ, ὅτι τὰ σημαντικώτερα ἀποτελέσματα τῆς παρούσης ἐργασίας συνοψίζονται εἰς:

- i) τὴν ἀπαλοιφὴν τῆς ἀνάγκης χρήσεως φανταστικοῦ χρόνου,
- ii) τὴν ἀναγωγὴν τῆς θερμοκρασίας εἰς θεμελιώδη φυσικὰ μεγέθη, καὶ
- iii) τὴν ὑπαγωγὴν τῆς Στατιστικῆς Μηχανικῆς εἰς τὴν Θεωρίαν τῶν Κβαντικῶν Πεδίων τῆς μετρικῆς κατὰ Minkowski.

“Ολα αὐτὰ ὑπὸ τὴν ἀπλῆν προϋπόθεσιν, ὅτι τὸ πεδίον τῆς πυκνότητος κατὰ Lagrange ἀποτελεῖ στοχαστικόν, ἀπέιρως διαιρετὸν Κβαντικὸν Πεδίον. Τέλος ἀναφέρεται, ὅτι τὴν ἀπειρον διαιρετότητα τῆς συναρτήσεως Lagrange εἶχε ἥδη χρησιμοποιήσει σιωπηρῶς ὁ μέγας φυσικὸς Feynman εἰς τὴν κατασκευὴν τοῦ περιφήμου δλοκληρώματος ἀτραποῦ, ἐκ τοῦ ὅποιου συνάγεται ἡ Κβαντικὴ Θεωρία.