

ΣΥΝΕΔΡΙΑ ΤΗΣ 14ΗΣ ΙΟΥΝΙΟΥ 2001

ΠΡΟΕΔΡΙΑ ΝΙΚΟΛΑΟΥ ΚΟΝΟΜΗ

ΜΑΘΗΜΑΤΙΚΑ. — **Nonlinear Quasi-Contractions on Metric Spaces**, by  
*Lj. B. Ćirić and J. S. Ume\**, διὰ τοῦ Ἀκαδημαϊκοῦ κ. Νικολάου Ἀρτεμιάδη.

A B S T R A C T

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an orbitally continuous mapping and let  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a nondecreasing and right continuous function such that  $\varphi(t) < t$  for  $t > 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [t - \varphi(t)] = \infty$ . Furthermore, suppose that there exist fixed positive integers  $p$  and  $q$  such that:

$$d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \max\{\varphi[d(T^r x, T^s y)], \varphi[d(T^r x, T^{r'} x)], \varphi[d(T^s y, T^{s'} y)]\} : r, r' \in P; s, s' \in Q$$

holds for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $P = \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$ ,  $Q = \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$ . Under these assumptions our main result states that  $T$  has a unique fixed point. Furthermore, it is shown that the condition for  $T$  to be continuous is unnecessary if  $P = \{0, p\}$  or  $Q = \{0, q\}$ . Our work generalizes corresponding results of the first author, Fisher, Ivanov, Kaminski, Pal and Maiti and several other authors.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T$  be a selfmapping on  $X$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi[d(x, y)] \tag{1}$$

\* LJ. B. ĆIRIĆ, J. S. UME, **Μη γραμμικές ήμισυσταλτικές άπεικονίσεις επί μετρικών χώρων.**

The second author was supported by grant No. 2001-1-10100-005-2 from the Basic Research Program of the Korea Science & Engineering Foundation.

2000. *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54 H 25 *Keywords and phrases:* Fixed point, nonlinear quasi-contraction, complete space.

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a function satisfying

$$\varphi(t) < t \text{ for all } t > 0. \quad (2)$$

Banach fixed point principle states that if  $\varphi(t)$  is linear, that is, if  $\varphi(t) = \lambda \cdot t$  for some  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point. Many authors tried to replace the condition of linearity for  $\varphi$  by more general conditions ([1], [2], [6], [7], [10], [11], [12], [13], [15], [16]). Boyd and Wong proved the following result.

**THEOREM 1.** (Boyd and Wong [1]). *Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping satisfying (1), where  $\varphi$  satisfies (2) and  $\varphi(t)$  is upper semi-continuous from the right. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$  and for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{T^n x\}$  converges to a unique fixed point in  $X$ .*

Similarly as Banach fixed point theorem, the theorem of Boyd-Wong also have applications (e.f. [2]). So the study of mappings satisfying a contractive condition more general than (1) plays an important role in fixed point theory.

The purpose of this paper is to consider mappings which satisfy a significantly weakened contractive condition in the Boyd-Wong's theorem, adding the assumptions for  $\varphi(t)$  to be nondecreasing and to satisfy the condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [t - \varphi(t)] = +\infty.$$

Our main result is the following.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an orbitally continuous mapping and let  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a right continuous function which satisfies (2). Suppose that there exist fixed positive integers  $p$  and  $q$  such that:*

$$d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \max \{ \varphi[d(T^r x, T^s y)], \varphi[d(T^r x, T^{r'} x)], \varphi[d(T^s y, T^{s'} y)] \} : \quad (3)$$

$$r, r' \in P; s, s' \in Q\}$$

hold for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $P = \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$ ,  $Q = \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$ . If  $\varphi(t)$  in addition satisfies the following conditions:

$$\varphi(t) \text{ is a nondecreasing function,} \quad (4)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [t - \varphi(t)] = +\infty, \quad (5)$$

then  $T$  has a unique fixed point, say  $u \in X$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = u$  for each  $x \in X$ . Further, if  $P = \{0, p\}$  or  $Q = \{0, q\}$ , then the assumption that  $T$  is orbitally continuous is unnecessary. Furthermore, if  $P = \{0\}$  and  $Q = \{0\}$  then the conditions (4) and (5) are also unnecessary.

PROOF. First we shall show that for any given  $x \in X$ , the orbit  $\{T^n x\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is bounded.

Let  $n$  be any fixed positive integer. Then there are positive integers  $i = i(n)$  and  $j = j(n)$  such that

$$\delta_n(x) = \text{diam} \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots, T^n x\} = d(T^i x, T^j x). \quad (6)$$

Observe that by monotonicity of  $\varphi$  we can write the inequality (3) in the following form:

$$d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \varphi[\max\{d(T^r x, T^s y), d(T^r x, T^{r'} x), d(T^s y, T^{s'} y) : r, r' \in P \text{ and } s, s' \in Q\}]. \quad (7)$$

Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $p \leq q$ ,  $i < j$  and that  $n > q$  and  $j > q$ , since the case  $j \leq q$  is trivial.

First assume that  $i \geq p$ . Then from (7) and by the monotonicity of  $\varphi$  we have  $\delta_n(x) = d(T^p T^{i-p} x, T^q T^{j-q} x) \leq \varphi[\text{diam} \{T^{i-p} x, \dots, T^i x, T^{j-q} x, \dots, T^j x\}] \leq \varphi[\delta^n(x)]$  which is in contradiction with (2) for  $\delta_n(x) > 0$ . Therefore,  $i < p$ . Since by the triangle inequality,

$$d(T^i x, T^j x) \leq d(T^i x, T^p x) + d(T^p x, T^j x)$$

and as  $j > q$ , using (6) we have

$$\delta_n(x) \leq d(T^i x, T^p x) + d(T^p x, T^q T^{j-q} x). \quad (8)$$

Since from (7)

$$d(T^p x, T^q T^{j-q} x) \leq \varphi[\text{diam} \{x, Tx, \dots, T^p x, T^{j-q} x, \dots, T^j x\}],$$

and as  $\varphi$  is monotonous, we have

$$d(T^p x, T^q T^{j-q} x) \leq \varphi[\delta^n(x)].$$

Now, by (8) we obtain

$$\delta_n(x) - \varphi[\delta_n(x)] \leq d(T^i x, T^p x) \leq K, \quad (9)$$

where  $K = \max\{d(T^m x, T^p x) : m \in P\}$ . Since the sequence  $\{\delta_n(x)\}$  is nondecreasing, the  $\lim \delta_n(x)$  exists. Suppose that  $\lim \delta_n(x) = +\infty$ . Then (5) implies that the lefthand side of (9) becomes unbounded when  $n$  tends to infinity, and that the right-hand side is bounded, a contradiction. Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n(x) = \delta(x) < +\infty$ , that is

$$\delta(x) = \text{diam} \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots, T^n x, \dots\} < +\infty. \quad (10)$$

Now we show that  $\{T^n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Set

$$a_n = \delta(T^n x) = \text{diam}[\{T^n x, T^{n+1}x, \dots\}] \quad (11)$$

( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). By (10),  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of finite nonnegative numbers. Since  $a_n \geq a_{n+1}$ , it follows that  $\{a_n\}$  converges to some  $a \geq 0$ . We shall prove that  $a = 0$ . Let  $n$  be arbitrary and let  $r, s$  be any positive integers such that  $r, s \geq n + p + q$ . Then from (7),

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^r x, T^s y) &= d(T^p T^{r-p} x, T^q T^{s-q} x) \\ &\leq \varphi[\text{diam}\{T^{r-p} x, T^{r-p+1} x, \dots, T^s y\}] \leq \varphi[\delta(T^{r-p} x)] \leq \varphi[\delta(T^n x)] \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\sup\{d(T^r x, T^s y) : r, s \geq n + p + q\} \leq \varphi[\delta(T^n x)],$$

that is,  $a_{n+p+q} \leq \varphi(a_n)$ . Hence, as  $a \leq a_{n+p+q}$ , we have  $a \leq \varphi(a_n)$ . Suppose that  $a > 0$ . Then by the right continuity of  $\varphi$  we have

$$a \leq \lim_{a_n \rightarrow a^+} \varphi(a_n) = \varphi(a) < a,$$

a contradiction. Therefore,  $a = 0$ . Thus, we have proved that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam} [\{T^n x, T^{n+1}x, \dots\}] = 0$$

and consequently the sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of  $X$  there is some  $u \in X$  such that

$$u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \quad (12)$$

where  $x_n = T^n x$ . If  $T$  is orbitally continuous (c.f. [5]), then

$$Tu = \lim T x_n = \lim x_{n+1} = u,$$

which means that  $u$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

The uniqueness of a fixed point of  $T$  follows from (3) and (2).

Suppose now that  $P = \{0, p\}$  or  $Q = \{0, q\}$  and that  $T$  may be discontinuous. Without loss of generality we may consider only the case  $P = \{0, p\}$  and  $Q = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q\}$ . Then from (7) with  $x = u$ , where  $u$  is defined by (12), and  $y = T^{n-q}x$  we have

$$d(T^p u, T^n x) = d(T^p u, T^q T^{n-q} x) \leq \varphi[\text{diam}\{u, T^p u, T^{n-q} x, \dots, T^n x\}]. \quad (13)$$

Suppose that  $d(T^p u, Tu) > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = u$ , for  $n$  large enough we have:

$$\text{diam}\{u, T^p u, T^{n-q} x, \dots, T^n x\} \leq d(u, T^p u) + \max\{d(u, T^{n-i} x) : i = 0, 1, \dots, q\}.$$

By monotonicity of  $\varphi$ , from (11) we get

$$d(T^p u, T^n x) \leq \varphi[d(u, T^p u) + \max\{d(u, T^{n-i} x) : i = 0, 1, \dots, q\}]. \quad (14)$$

Set  $c_n = d(u, T^p u) + \max\{d(u, T^{n-i} x) : i = 0, 1, \dots, q\}$ . Then  $c_n \rightarrow d(u, T^p u)$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now from (14), we have

$$d(T^p u, u) = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} d(T^p u, T^n x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(c_n) = \lim_{c_n \rightarrow d(u, T^p u)^+} \varphi(c_n) = \varphi[d(u, T^p u)] < d(u, T^p u),$$

a contradiction. Therefore,  $T^p u = u$ . Since  $\{T^n u\}$  must be a Cauchy sequence, it follows that  $Tu = u$ .

Let now  $P = \{0\}$  and  $Q = \{0\}$ . Then (3) becomes the Boyd-Wong's contractive condition, and so Theorem 2 becomes Theorem 1.

REMARK 1. If a space  $X$  is bounded, then the condition (5) for  $\varphi$  is unnecessary.

REMARK 2. The following simple example shows that if in Theorem 2,  $p \geq 2$  and  $T$  is not orbitally continuous, then  $T$  may have no fixed point.

Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be defined as follows:  $T(0) = 1$ ;  $T(x) = \frac{x}{2}$ , if  $x \neq 0$ . Then it is easy to see that  $T$  satisfies (3) with  $p = q = 2$  and  $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ , but  $T$  has not a fixed point.

REMARK 3. If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  in Theorem 1 satisfies (3) with  $p \neq q$ , then it also satisfies (3) with  $p = q$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $p < q$ . Then we have

$$d(T^q x, T^q y) = d(T^p T^{q-p} x, T^q y) = d(T^p z, T^q y),$$

where for notational simplicity we set  $z = T^{q-p} x$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \{ \varphi[d(T^r z, T^s y)], \varphi[d(T^r z, T^{r'} z)] : r, r' \in P \text{ and } s \in Q \} \\ &= \max \{ \varphi[d(T^r x, T^s y)], \varphi[d(T^r x, T^{r'} x)] : q - p \leq r, r' \leq q \text{ and } s \in Q \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \varphi[d(T^r x, T^s y)], \varphi[d(T^r x, T^{r'} x)] : r, r' \in Q \text{ and } s \in Q \}, \end{aligned}$$

from (3) we get

$$d(T^q x, T^q y) \leq \max \{ \varphi[d(T^r x, T^s y)], \varphi[d(T^r x, T^{r'} x)], \varphi[d(T^s y, T^{s'} y)] : r, r' \in Q \text{ and } s, s' \in Q \}.$$

REMARK 4. Independently, Matkowski ([10], [11]) and Ivanov [7] introduced the condition (5):  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [t - \varphi(t)] = +\infty$ . In [6] the first author showed that each of the hypothesis (4) and (5) in Theorem 2 is essential, even for  $p = q = 1$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 2 it is easy to obtain the following result:

THEOREM 3. *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be as in Theorem 2. If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is continuous and satisfies the following condition:*

$$d(T^2 x, T^2 y) \leq a\varphi[d(x, y)] + b\varphi[d(Tx, Ty)] + c\varphi[d(x, Tx)] + h\varphi[d(y, Tx)]$$

where  $a, b, c$  and  $h$  are nonnegative reals such that  $a + b + c + h \leq 1$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

REMARK 5. Taking in Theorem 2:  $\varphi(t) = \lambda \cdot t$  with  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  we obtain the fixed point theorem of B. Fisher [8] and A. Kaminski [9]. For  $p = 1$  (and  $q$  arbitrary) we obtain the theorem of Pal and Maiti [14].

REMARK 6. Taking in Theorem 2:  $\varphi(t) = \lambda \cdot t$  with  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  and  $q = p$ , we obtain the fixed point theorem, given in [4]. For  $p = q = 1$  we obtain the theorem in [3].

REMARK 7. The following example shows that Theorem 2 is not only a formal generalization of the corresponding theorems of the first author [3], [4], Ivanov [7], Fisher [8], Kaminski [9] and Pal and Maiti [14].

EXAMPLE. Let  $X = [0, 1]$  be equipped with the Euclidean metric  $d$  and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping defined as follows:

$$T(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}x^2, \text{ for } x \in X \text{ and } x \neq \frac{2}{3},$$

$$T\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = 1.$$

Furthermore, let  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be defined as follows:

$$\varphi(t) = t - \frac{1}{2}t^2, \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

$$\varphi(t) = 1, \text{ for } t > 1.$$

Then it is clear that  $\varphi$  satisfies the conditions (2), (7) and (8).

Now we shall show that  $T$  satisfies (3) with  $p = q = 3$ . If  $x, y \in X$  and  $x \neq \frac{2}{3}, y \neq \frac{2}{3}$  then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &= \left| x - y - \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - y^2) \right| = |x - y| \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2}(x + y) \right| \\ &\leq |x - y| \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}|x - y| \right) = d(x, y) - \frac{1}{2}d^2(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi[d(x, y)].$$

Using (2) and this inequality we get

$$d(T^3x, T^3y) \leq \varphi[d(T^2x, T^2y)] \leq \varphi^2[d(Tx, Ty)] \leq \varphi^3[d(x, y)].$$

Since from (4),  $\varphi(t) \leq t$  implies  $\varphi^2(t) \leq \varphi(t)$  and  $\varphi^3(t) \leq \varphi(t)$ , we have

$$d(T^3x, T^3y) \leq \varphi[d(x, y)] \text{ for all } x \neq \frac{2}{3} \text{ and } y \neq \frac{2}{3}.$$

Since  $T^3\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = \frac{3}{8}$ ,  $d\left[T\left(\frac{2}{3}\right), T^2\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right] = \frac{1}{2}$ , for any  $x \in X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\left[T^3\left(\frac{2}{3}\right), T^3(x)\right] &= \left| \frac{3}{8} - T^3(x) \right| \leq \frac{3}{8} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \\ &= \varphi\left[d\left[T\left(\frac{2}{3}\right), T^2\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right]\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all  $x, y \in X$  we have that  $T$  satisfies the following condition:

$$d(T^3x, T^3y) \leq \max \{ \varphi[d(x, y)], \varphi[d(Tx, T^2x)], \varphi[d(Ty, T^2y)] \}.$$

Since  $T$  is orbitally continuous, we can apply our Theorem 2. On the other hand, since  $d\left[T\left(\frac{2}{3}\right), T^2(0)\right] = 1 = \text{diam}(X)$ ,  $T$  does not satisfy the condition (3) with  $p = 1$ . Also for any  $p, q \geq 1$ ,  $T$  does not satisfy the condition (3) with  $\varphi(t) = \lambda \cdot t$ , for any  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

## R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] D. W. Boyd and J. S. W. Wong, On nonlinear contractions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **20** (1969), 458-464.
- [2] F. Browder, On the convergence of successive approximations for nonlinear functional equations, *Indag. Math.*, **30** (1968), 27-35.
- [3] L. j. Ćirić, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **45** (1974), 267-273.
- [4] L. j. Ćirić, On mappings with a contractive iterate, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, **26** (40) (1979), 79-82.
- [5] L. j. Ćirić, On contraction type mappings, *Math. Balk.*, **1** (1971), 52-57.
- [6] L. j. Ćirić, On an open problem of Ivanov related to nonlinear quasi-contractive mappings (Rusian), *Publ. Inst. Math.*, **41** (55) (1987), 149-150.
- [7] A. A. Ivanov, Fixed points of metric space mappings (Rusian), *Isledovaniya po topologii II*, Nauka Leningradskoe otdelenie, 1976, 66, 5-102.
- [8] B. Fisher, Quasi-contractions on metric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **75** (1979), 321-325.
- [9] A. Kaminski, A fixed point theorem, *Proc. Int. Conf. on Geometric Topology*, (Warsaw, 1978), 229-234.
- [10] J. Matkowski, Integrable solutions of functional equations. *Diss. Math.*, **127** (1975), Warsaw.
- [11] J. Matkowski, Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **62** (1977), 344-348.
- [12] J. Matkowski and J. Miś, Examples and remarks to fixed point theorems, *Facta Univ. Nis, Ser. Math. and Inf.*, **1** (1986), 53-56.
- [13] J. Matkowski and R. Węgrzyk, On equivalence of some fixed point theorems for self-mappings of metrically convex space, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, A (5) **15** (1978), 359-369.
- [14] T. Pal and M. Maiti, Extensions of Ćirić's quasi-contractions, *Math. Balkanica*, **6** (1976), 152-154.
- [15] E. Rakoć, A note on contractive mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **13** (1962), 459-465.
- [16] A. Zitarosa, Una generalizzazione del teorema di Banach sulle contrazioni, *Matematiche*, **23** (1968), 417-424.

## Π Ε Ρ Ι Λ Η Ψ Η

**Μη γραμμικές ήμισυσταλτικές απεικονίσεις επί μετρικῶν χώρων**

Ἐστω  $(X, d)$  ἕνας πλήρης μετρικὸς χώρος,

$T : X \rightarrow X$  μία τροχιακὰ συνεχῆς απεικόνιση, καὶ

$\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  μία μὴ φθίνουσα καὶ συνεχῆς ἐκ δεξιῶν συνάρτηση τέτοια ὥστε

$$\varphi(t) < t \text{ γιὰ } t > 0 \text{ καὶ } \lim [t - \varphi(t)] = +\infty.$$

Ἐπιπλέον, υποθέτομε ὅτι ὑπάρχουν θετικοὶ ἀκέραιοι ἀριθμοὶ  $p$  καὶ  $q$ , τέτοιοι ὥστε ἡ σχέση

$$d\left(T_x^P, T_y^Q\right) \leq \max \left\{ \varphi \left[ d\left(T_x^r, T_y^s\right) \right], \varphi \left[ d\left(T_x^{r'}, T_x^{s'}\right) \right], \varphi \left[ d\left(T_y^s, T_y^{s'}\right) \right] : \right. \\ \left. r, r' \in P ; s, s' \in Q \right\}$$

νὰ ἰσχύει γιὰ ὅλα τὰ  $x, y \in X$  ὅπου  $P = \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$ ,  $Q = \{0, 1, \dots, q\}$

Ἐπὶ τὶς ὡς ἄνω προϋποθέσεις, τὸ κύριο ἀποτέλεσμα τῆς ἐργασίας εἶναι ὅτι ἡ απεικόνιση  $T$  ἔχει ἕνα μοναδικὸ σταθερὸ σημεῖο. Ἐπὶ πλέον οἱ συγγραφεῖς ἀποδεικνύουν ὅτι ἡ συνθήκη ὅτι ἡ  $T$  πρέπει νὰ εἶναι συνεχῆς δὲν εἶναι ἀναγκαῖα ἂν

$$P = \{0, q\} \text{ ἢ } Q = \{0, q\}$$

Ἡ ἐργασία τῶν κ.κ. Ćirić καὶ Ume γενικεύει ἀνάλογα ἀποτελέσματα τῶν Ćirić, Fisher, Ivanov, Kaminski, Pal καὶ Maiti, καθὼς καὶ ἄλλων συγγραφέων.